motion. Plaintiff has filed a motion asking the Court to reconsider that denial and for a certificate of appealability. The Court denies the motions. As in his previous motion to

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In 2006, the Hon. Edward C. Reed denied Petitioner Larry Gene Tilcock's First Amended

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("FAP") under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and granted Petitioner a

remanded, ordering the Court to hold an evidentiary hearing as to Petitioner's claim of

certificate of appealability. In 2008, the Court of Appeals affirmed in part, reversed in part, and

ineffective assistance of counsel with respect to trial counsel's failure to object to evidence of

prior convictions at sentencing. Upon remand, Judge Reed held the required hearing in 2009,

of Appeals affirmed denial of appealability, and the Supreme Court denied certiorari. In 2013,

under grounds 7, 11, and 12. The case was transferred to this Court, and the Court denied the

found no ineffective assistance at sentencing, and denied a certificate of appealability. The Court

Petitioner asked Judge Reed to reconsider his denial of the FAP upon remand as to certain claims

LARRY GENE TILCOCK,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) ) 3:03-cv-00037-RCJ-RAM
vs.	)
MICHAEL BUDGE,	) ORDER
Defendant.	) ) )

## Case 3:03-cv-00037-RCJ-RAM Document 114 Filed 07/30/13 Page 2 of 2

reconsider, Plaintiff asks the Court to reconsider certain ineffective assistance of counsel claims under grounds 7,11, and 12 of the FAP. The Court of Appeals, however, affirmed Judge Reed's denial of those claims. CONCLUSION IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 108) and the Motion for a Certificate of Appealability (ECF No. 109) are DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 30th day of July, 2013. ROBE/R/I United States District Judge